1		
2	RYAN A. HAMILTON, ESQ. NEVADA BAR NO. 11587	
3	HAMILTON LAW	
4	5125 S. Durango Dr., Ste. C Las Vegas, NV 89113	
5	(702) 818-1818 (702) 974-1139 (fax)	
6	ryan@hamiltonlawlasvegas.com	
7	Attorney for the plaintiff	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
	FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
9	JULIO ANTELO, an Individual,	
10	Plaintiff,	Case No. 2:13-cv-01613-APG-GWF
11	vs.	5465 1161 2 126 CV 01016 111 C C VI
12		MOTION TO WITHDRAW AS
13	DEPUY SYNTHES PRODUCTS, LLC; DEPUY SYNTHES SALES, INC.; and DOES 1-	ATTORNEY OF RECORD
14	100, ROE Corporations I – X, inclusive,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	COMES NOW Ryan A. Hamilton, Esq., of Hamilton Law, LLC, and pursuant to Nevada	
18	Rule of Professional Conduct ("NRPC") 1.16, moves to withdraw as attorney for Plaintiff Julio	
19	Antelo. This motion is made and based upon the points and authorities and the Declaration of	
20	Ryan A. Hamilton ("Hamilton Declaration") attached hereto and any oral argument the Court may	
21	entertain.	
22	DATED this 9 th day of July, 2014.	
23		Respectfully submitted,
24		By: Paul, Darie
25		By: Vaccin for a

RYAN A. HAMILTON, ESQ. NEVADA BAR NO. 11587 HAMILTON LAW 5125 S. Durango Dr., Ste. C Las Vegas, NV 89113 (702) 818-1818 (702) 974-1139 ryan@hamiltonlawlasvegas.com

Attorney for the plaintiff, Julio Antelo

DECLARATION OF RYAN A. HAMILTON, ESQ. IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW

I, Ryan A. Hamilton, Esq., declare in support of this Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of Record, in the matter styled JULIO ANTELO vs. DEPUY SYNTHES PRODUCTS, LLC; and DEPUY SYNTHES SALES, INC., Case No. 2:13-cv-01613, filed in the United States District Court, District of Nevada:

- I am an attorney duly licensed to practice and in good standing in Nevada,
 California, and Indiana. I am the owner and managing member of Hamilton Law, LLC since its founding in 2010.
- 2. I filed the instant product liability case on September 4, 2013. Pursuant to the Scheduling Order for this case, the discovery cut-off presently is December 22, 2014, the deadline for the filing of dispositive motions is January 21, 2015, and the Joint Pretrial Order is due February 20, 2015. (Dkt. No. 14).
- 3. During the course of my representation of the plaintiff, a fundamental disagreement between counsel and client as to the case has arisen. This disagreement is so fundamental that it prevents the undersigned from representing the plaintiff effectively. More importantly, the disagreement would prevent the plaintiff from obtaining the relief he seeks in this action if the undersigned were to continue as counsel.

3 of 5

	Case 2:13-cv-01613-APG-GWF Document 15 Filed 07/09/14 Page 3 015	
1	4. I will take all necessary steps to ensure that the plaintiff is not prejudiced by my	
2	withdrawal. These steps include, without limitation, preserving key evidence in the case including	
3	explanted pieces of the metal fixation plate at issue in this product liability action. Further, I will	
4	assist the plaintiff in finalizing written discovery responses and a document production that are	
5	coming due.	
6	5. Given the severity of the plaintiff's damages, I do not anticipate the plaintiff	
7	encountering difficulties in retaining new counsel. Toward that end, I will not place an attorney	
8	lien for the work I have done on the case. I will, of course, share all notes and work product with	
9	new counsel.	
10	6. The plaintiff has three adult daughters who both provide and coordinate his care.	
11	The plaintiff's daughters no doubt will be of great assistance to him in locating new counsel.	
12	7. I have also served the plaintiff with a copy of this Motion. The plaintiff is aware	
13	that I am moving to withdraw as counsel of record.	

- intiff is aware
- 8. For these reasons, at this time I believe it is my obligation under the NRPC to move to withdraw as counsel of record in this case. Further, my withdrawal can be accomplished without material adverse effect on the plaintiff's interests.

I declare under penalty of perjury that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed this 9th day of July, 2014.

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

RYAN A. HAMILTON, ESQ.

NEVADA BAR NO. 11587

HAMILTON LAW

5125 S. Durango Dr., Ste. C

Las Vegas, NV 89113

(702) 818-1818

(702) 974-1139

ryan@hamiltonlawlasvegas.com

Attorney for the plaintiff

POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

I.

THE COURT SHOULD GRANT THIS MOTION TO WITHDRAW BECAUSE GOOD CAUSE EXISTS AND WITHDRAWAL CAN BE ACCOMPLISHED WITHOUT MATERIAL ADVERSE EFFECT

As set forth in the Declaration of Ryan A. Hamilton, the undersigned has good cause to withdraw as counsel of record under NPRC 1.16. NPRC 1.16 provides, in relevant part:

- (b) Except as stated in paragraph (c), a lawyer may withdraw from representing a client if:
- (1) Withdrawal can be accomplished without material adverse effect on the interests of the client;
- (4) A client insists upon taking action that the lawyer considers repugnant or with which the lawyer has fundamental disagreement;
- (6) The representation will result in an unreasonable financial burden on the lawyer or has been rendered unreasonably difficult by the client; or
- (7) Other good cause for withdrawal exists.

NV ST RPC Rule 1.16(b).

Here, good cause for withdrawal exists under provisions 1, 4, 6, and 7 of NPRC 1.16(b). Counsel and client have a fundamental disagreement about the representation in this case that prevents client from obtaining the relief he seeks in this case. This disagreement prevents the undersigned from representing the plaintiff effectively and in the manner which plaintiff desires. Because of the ample time left to conduct discovery and further prepare this case for trial or other resolution, the undersigned believes that his withdrawal will not delay proceedings in this matter.

As set forth in the above declaration, withdrawal will not result have a material adverse effect on the interests of the plaintiff. The undersigned will take all necessary steps to protect the client's interests during the pendency of this Motion. Further, the undersigned will preserve key

Case 2:13-cv-01613-APG-GWF Document 15 Filed 07/09/14 Page 5 of 5

evidence in the case, including without limitation, explanted pieces of the metal fixation plate that 1 is the product at issue in this product liability case until the plaintiff retains new counsel. 2 Further, given the severity of the plaintiff's damages and the prospect of a large recovery 3 in the case, the undersigned believes the plaintiff should have little trouble retaining new counsel. 4 Plaintiff's three adult daughters will no doubt be able to help the plaintiff in locating new counsel 5 and the undersigned is happy to assist in that process. 6 For all these reaons, the undersigned respectfully moves the Court to grant this Motion to 7 Withdraw as Attorney of Record. 8 Dated this 9th day of July, 2014 9 Kall, Hense 10 11 Ryan A. Hamilton, Esq. 12 13 14 **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE** 15 I certify that on July 9, 2014, I filed the foregoing Motion to Withdraw as Attorney of 16 *Record* via the Court's CM/ECF system which will send a copy to the following: 17 Jay Schuttert 18 Morgan T. Petrelli SNELL & WILMER, LLP 19 3883 Howard Hughes Pkwy., Ste. 1100 Las Vegas, NV 89169 20 Kall. Hersk 21 22 Employee of Hamilton Law 23

24

25